

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/635,734	ENJOJI ET AL.
	Examiner Stephen J. Kalafut	Art Unit 1745

All Participants:

(1) Stephen J. Kalafut.

Status of Application: Amended

(3) _____.

(2) Anthony Laurentano.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 16 June 2006

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

None

Claims discussed:

1, 18

Prior art documents discussed:

None

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

The word "metal" should be deleted from the last reference in each claim to a "porous metal conductive material", since the "porous conductive material" is defined to be either a "porous metal material" or a "conductive fiber aggregated material"

Part III.

- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)